

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/697,006	NOZAKI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Matthew D. Hoel	3714

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowed

(1) Matthew D. Hoel, examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Carl Schaukowitch, atty.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 27 November 2006

**Time:** A.M.

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed changing "floral" in Claim 1, Line 28 to "plural," as it was a typographical error. Mr. Schaukowitch approved the change.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)